

# United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS 'P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/980,851	06/11/2002	Steven D. Cech	PSS 2 0066	3678	
7590 05/22/2003					
Richard J Minnich			EXAMINER		
Fay Sharpe Fagan Minnich & Mckee 1100 Superior Avenue 7th Floor Cleveland, OH 44114-2518		STAFIRA, MICHAEL PATRICK			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .	
			2877		

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Annlication No.	<del>- نانانانانانانانانانانانانانانانانانانا</del>	/	<i>y</i>			
•		Application No.		pplicant(s)				
Office Action Summan		09/980,851		CECH, STEVEN	D.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	TI MAIL INO DATE ACALIA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	Michael P. Stafira		2877	14			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cov r	sneet with the cor	respona nc aa	aress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replese period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Son, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely num of thirty (30) days w IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	rilled rill be considered timely mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)□	<u> </u>	— is action is non-fir	al.					
3)								
Dispositi	on of Claims		·					
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-34$ is/are pending in the application	۱.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requiren	nent.					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) 🔲 🗀	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) 🔲 objecte	d to by the Exami	ner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pat Other:					
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office	<del></del>						

Application/Control Number: 09/980,851

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5,8-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Novini ('204).

## Claim 1,18

Novini ('204) discloses a method and apparatus having a senor device operative to respond to electromagnetic radiation at one or more wavelengths or wavelengths ranges corresponding to electromagnetic energy transmission wherein the objects are rendered opaque by naturally occurring molecular and/or atomic absorptions occurring within material comprising the objects (Col. 8, lines 43-65); an electromagnetic radiation source wherein a significant portion of and spectrum therefrom occurs in the ranges wherein the objects are generally opaque (It is the position of the examiner that the light source of Novini ('204) produces the same function of the claimed limitation) and a support structure positioned to support the sensor device and source and to maintain the objects in between the source and the sensor device (Col. 8-9, lines 66-16).

# Claim 2,19

The reference of Novini ('204) further discloses a single-element photoconductive (Fig. 2, Ref. 30). (It is the position of the examiner that the camera of Novini ('204) produces the same function as the disclosed limitation.)

Application/Control Number: 09/980,851

Art Unit: 2877

### **Claim 3,20**

300

Novini ('204) further discloses a processor operative to receive an output of the sensor device and operate on the output to determine the quality of the objects (Col. 8, lines 16-32).

# **Claim 4,21**

The reference of Novini ('204) further discloses part detection, tracking, and conveyance systems deployed to interact with the objects and used to both maneuver the object under test into an advantageous position between the sensor element and the source and to provide instrument control signals to both the sensor device and the source (Col. 8-9, lines 66-16).

# Claim 5,22

Novini ('204) further discloses a reject system, which receives the processed output of the processor and acts to physically reject (Col. 8, lines 20-32).

### **Claim 8,25**

The reference of Novini ('204) further discloses the source is a semiconductor LED (Col. 8, lines 45-50).

### **Claim 9,26**

Novini ('204) further discloses the source is pulsed in order to increase a signal to noise ratio of a signal (Col. 8, lines 50-65).

# Claim 10,27

The reference of Novini ('204) further discloses a one or a two dimensional array of photosensitive elements (Col. 9, lines 17-49).

### Claim 11,28

Novini ('204) further discloses a processor, which receives the output of the senor device and operates on the output to determine the quality (Col. 8, lines 16-32).

## Claim 12,29

The reference of Novini ('204) further discloses part detection, tracking, and conveyance systems deployed to interact with the objects and used to both maneuver the object under test

Application/Control Number: 09/980,851

Art Unit: 2877

into an advantageous position between the sensor element and the source and to provide instrument control signals to both the sensor device and the source (Col. 8-9, lines 66-16).

# Claim 13,30

Novini ('204) further discloses a reject system, which receives the processed output of the processor and acts to physically reject (Col. 8, lines 20-32).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6,7,14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novini ('204) in view of Atkinson et al. ('501).

### Claim 6, 14, 23, 31

Novini ('204) discloses the claimed invention except for the source is a black or gray body thermal emitter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Novini ('204) with the black or gray body thermal emitter since it was well known in the art that using thermal emitter allows the inspection in a different environment that are not suited for optical wavelengths.

## Claim 7, 15, 24, 32

Novini ('204) substantially teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show a source is amplitude modulated by a mechanical chopping system in order to increase a signal to noise ratio of the signal. Atkinson et al. ('501) shows that it is known to provide a mechanical chopper for a bottle inspection system. It would have been obvious to combine the device of

Art Unit: 2877

. 27

Novini ('204) with the mechanical chopper of Atkinson et al. ('501) for the purpose of providing a means for pulsating the light source without using electronic elements etc...

### Claim 16, 33

The reference of Novini ('204) further discloses the source is a semiconductor LED (Col. 8, lines 45-50).

### Claim 17, 34

Novini ('204) further discloses the source is pulsed in order to increase a signal to noise ratio of a signal (Col. 8, lines 50-65).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Stafira whose telephone number is 703-308-4837. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/10.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 703-308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Michael P. Stafiral Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

May 19, 2003